THE HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE G. YETHIRAJULU

Writ Petition No.13736 of 1991

Dated 21st day of September, 2005

Between:

D. NARASIMHA RAO,

S/o. Satyanarayana, age 29 years,

Junior Lecturer in History,

Reddivaru Satishchandra Reddy Memorial Trust

Vivekananda Junior College, Mamillagudem,

Resident of Khammam.

…. **Petitioner**

and

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

represented by the Principal Secretary to

Government, Education Department,

Secretariat, Hyderabad and 2 others

…. **Respondents**

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

The petitioner approached this Court through this Writ of Mandamus seeking a direction to the respondents to regularize his services as Junior Lecturer in History in the third respondent-Reddivaru Satischandra Reddy Memorial Trust Vivekananda Junior College, Memaillagudem, Khammam District, in terms of G.O.Ms.No.302, Education (CEI-2) Department, dated
23-08-1991.

The petitioner contended that he was appointed as a Junior Lecturer on adhoc basis with effect from 30-07-1988 and while he was working in that capacity, a notification was issued for selection of lecturers. The third respondent-College did not consider his candidature for regular appointment i.e., aided vacancy and one T. Ramesh was appointed in the aided vacancy and recommended for approval of the said candidate. He further contended that since he worked for more than 2 ½ years till the end of academic year 1990-91, he is entitled to be considered.

The learned Government Pleader for Higher Education, appearing on behalf of the respondents represented that by the date of issuing the notification the petitioner did not put in 2 ½ years service, therefore, he was not considered and in the regular vacancy a candidate by name T. Ramesh was appointed. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for regularization as prayer for.

The learned counsel for the petitioner represented that since the petitioner worked

for a long time on adhoc basis, it is unjust on the part of the third respondent-College to dispense with the service of the petitioner and requested to issue a direction to the third respondent-College to consider his candidature for appointment either on regular basis or on adhoc basis.

The learned Government Pleader represented that the G.O.Ms.No.302 dated 23-08-1991 is not in force and as there is a regular procedure to be adopted for appointment of any candidate on regular basis, the petitioner is not entitled for any direction in that regard.

By taking into consideration the submissions made by both counsel, I do not find any merits in the writ petition. However, the third respondent-College is at liberty to consider the candidature of the petitioner for appointment on adhoc basis to any post of Junior Lecturer in the event of any vacancy in the said Institution.

With the above observation, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

Dr.G. YETHIRAJULU, J

Date: 21st September, 2005

lsn